International Journal of Engineering Research & Science (IJOER) ISSN: [2395-6992] [Vol-12, Issue-3, March 2026]

Organic Pesticide: A Comparative Study of Fermentation and Decoction Methods for Dashparni Ark Preparation

Nilay Chaudhari[1*]; Nishant Mhatre[2]; Ajinkya Patil[3]; Pratik Raut[4]

Department of Mechanical Engineering, VIVA Institute of Technology, India
*Corresponding Author

Abstract— *The increasing concerns associated with the excessive use of chemical pesticides have created a need for sustainable and environmentally safe alternatives in agriculture. Dashparni Ark, a traditional plant-based formulation, has gained attention due to its natural pesticidal properties and ease of preparation. The present study focuses on the preparation and comparative evaluation of Dashparni Ark using fermentation and decoction methods. The fermentation process involves microbial decomposition of plant materials over an extended period, leading to the formation of a complex mixture of bioactive compounds, whereas the decoction method utilizes thermal extraction to obtain the formulation within a shorter time. Both methods were analyzed in terms of their preparation characteristics, extraction behavior, and overall suitability for practical application. The findings indicate that fermentation produces a more enriched and complex formulation, while decoction offers advantages in terms of reduced processing time and operational simplicity. Each method presents distinct benefits and limitations, and their selection depends on specific requirements such as time availability, consistency, and intended use. This study contributes to the scientific understanding and standardization of traditional organic pesticides, supporting their application in sustainable agricultural practices.*

Keywords— Dashparni Ark, Organic Pesticide, Fermentation, Decoction, Sustainable Agriculture, Botanical Extracts, Biopesticide.

I. INTRODUCTION

The widespread reliance on synthetic pesticides in contemporary agriculture has contributed significantly to enhanced crop protection and productivity. However, their prolonged and excessive application has raised serious concerns related to environmental sustainability, including soil health deterioration, contamination of water resources, and potential risks to human and ecological systems. These limitations have driven increasing interest in the development of alternative pest control strategies that are both effective and environmentally responsible.

Plant-based formulations have gained recognition as sustainable pest management solutions due to their natural origin, biodegradability, and reduced toxicity. **Dashparni Ark** is one such traditional formulation, prepared using a combination of multiple medicinal plant leaves and cow urine, known for its bio-enhancing properties. The pesticidal effectiveness of this formulation is associated with the presence of naturally occurring phytochemicals, including phenolic compounds, flavonoids, alkaloids, and other secondary metabolites that exhibit insecticidal and antimicrobial activity.

The preparation of Dashparni Ark is predominantly carried out through a fermentation process, where microbial action facilitates the gradual breakdown of plant material and the release of bioactive constituents. Although this method is widely practiced, it requires a prolonged duration and is influenced by variations in environmental conditions, leading to potential inconsistencies in the final product. Such variability can affect both the concentration and stability of the active components.

An alternative approach involves the use of decoction, where plant materials are subjected to controlled heating to extract soluble compounds within a shorter time frame. While this method offers advantages in terms of reduced processing time, the exposure to elevated temperatures may influence the integrity of certain heat-sensitive compounds, thereby impacting the overall effectiveness of the formulation.

In view of these considerations, the present study aims to examine the preparation of Dashparni Ark using fermentation and decoction techniques, with a focus on comparing their process characteristics and extract properties. This investigation is intended to contribute toward improving the understanding and standardization of traditional organic pesticides for sustainable agricultural applications.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Method 1: Fermentation

Fermentation is a biological extraction process in which microorganisms play a key role in breaking down complex organic materials into simpler, more soluble forms. In the preparation of Dashparni Ark, this method enables the gradual release and transformation of bioactive compounds present in medicinal plant leaves, enhancing their pesticidal effectiveness.

Process Steps:

1. Collection and cleaning of fresh plant materials to remove impurities
2. Chopping leaves into smaller pieces to increase surface area for microbial action
3. Combining plant materials with cow urine and water in predetermined proportions (cow urine serves as a solvent and a source of beneficial microorganisms)
4. Adding jaggery as an additional carbon source to stimulate microbial growth
5. Storing the mixture in a closed container under ambient conditions for 25–30 days
6. Regular stirring to ensure uniform mixing and prevent sedimentation
7. Filtering the fermented solution to separate solid residues

As fermentation progresses, observable changes such as variation in odor, color, and pH indicate the transformation of the mixture. Although fermentation is an effective and low-cost method, it is influenced by external factors such as temperature fluctuations and microbial variability, which can lead to differences in composition and quality between batches.

2.2 Method 2: Decoction

The decoction method is a conventional thermal extraction technique in which heat is utilized to accelerate the release of bioactive compounds from plant materials into a liquid medium.

Process Steps:

1. Selection and collection of fresh medicinal plant leaves
2. Thorough washing to remove dust and impurities
3. Chopping leaves into smaller pieces to increase surface area for extraction
4. Transferring plant material into a container with adequate water
5. Subjecting the mixture to continuous heating (boiling) for a specific duration
6. Periodic stirring to maintain uniform temperature distribution
7. Allowing the mixture to cool gradually to room temperature
8. Filtering to remove solid residues and obtain clear liquid extract

The decoction method offers several advantages, including significantly reduced preparation time (few hours), ease of operation, and better control over processing conditions. However, prolonged exposure to elevated temperatures may lead to degradation or volatilization of thermolabile bioactive compounds.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The preparation of Dashparni Ark using fermentation and decoction methods demonstrated clear differences in processing characteristics, extract quality, and overall effectiveness. Both methods successfully yielded a liquid formulation with pesticidal potential; however, the nature of extraction and resulting composition varied significantly.

3.1 Fermentation Method

The fermentation method required a longer duration of approximately 25–30 days to complete. During this period, gradual decomposition of plant materials occurred due to microbial activity, resulting in the formation of a complex mixture of bioactive compounds. The final product obtained through fermentation appeared darker in color with a strong characteristic odor, indicating extensive breakdown and transformation of organic matter. This method allowed for deeper extraction and conversion of plant constituents, leading to a formulation rich in active components. However, variations in environmental conditions such as temperature and microbial activity influenced the process, resulting in differences in consistency between batches.

3.2 Decoction Method

The decoction method provided a much faster means of preparation, producing the extract within a few hours. The application of heat facilitated rapid extraction of soluble compounds from plant materials. The resulting formulation was comparatively lighter in color and had a milder odor, suggesting limited transformation of compounds compared to fermentation. While the decoction method offered advantages in terms of reduced preparation time and better control over processing conditions, direct exposure to high temperatures may have affected certain heat-sensitive constituents, potentially reducing the overall effectiveness of the formulation.

3.3 Comparative Evaluation

TABLE 1
COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF FERMENTATION AND DECOCTION METHODS

Parameter	Fermentation Method	Decoction Method
Processing Time	25–30 days	Few hours
Nature of Process	Biological	Thermal
Extract Characteristics	Dark, strong odor	Lighter, mild odor
Bioactive Complexity	High	Moderate
Process Control	Low	High
Consistency	Variable	More consistent
Suitability	Traditional, long-term	Quick preparation

3.4 Discussion

From a comparative perspective, fermentation produced a more complex and potentially more potent extract due to prolonged interaction between plant materials and microbial activity. However, this method was time-consuming and less consistent due to its dependence on environmental factors. On the other hand, decoction provided a quicker and more controlled approach, making it suitable for immediate use, although it may result in a comparatively less complex formulation.

Overall, both methods have their own advantages and limitations. The fermentation method is more suitable when higher extract complexity and traditional preparation are preferred, whereas the decoction method is advantageous for rapid production and ease of operation. The selection of the method depends on the specific requirements of application, balancing preparation time, consistency, and expected effectiveness.

IV. CONCLUSION

The present study highlights the preparation and comparative evaluation of Dashparni Ark using fermentation and decoction methods as sustainable alternatives to chemical pesticides. Both methods were found to be effective in producing plant-based formulations with pesticidal potential; however, they differ significantly in terms of processing time, extraction characteristics, and consistency.

Key Findings:

Aspect	Fermentation	Decoction
Time Required	25–30 days	Few hours
Extract Complexity	High	Moderate
Process Control	Low	High
Consistency	Variable	More consistent

The fermentation method, although time-intensive, enables gradual decomposition and transformation of plant materials, resulting in a more complex and enriched formulation. The decoction method provides a rapid and more controlled approach, making it suitable for practical and immediate applications.

Overall, both methods demonstrate potential for use in sustainable agricultural practices. The selection of the appropriate method depends on specific requirements such as time availability, consistency, and desired formulation characteristics. The study supports the adoption of traditional organic pesticides as viable and eco-friendly solutions, while also emphasizing the need for further standardization to improve reliability and large-scale applicability.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the management of VIVA Institute of Technology for providing a supportive academic environment and the necessary infrastructure to carry out this research work. The authors are especially thankful to the project guide and faculty members of the Mechanical Engineering Department for their valuable guidance, constructive suggestions, and continuous motivation throughout the research process. The authors also extend their appreciation to the laboratory staff for their assistance in handling equipment and providing technical support during experimentation.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest associated with this research work or its publication. The study was carried out independently without any financial support, sponsorship, or funding from commercial organizations or external agencies that could influence the outcomes of the research. All authors have contributed to the work in an unbiased manner, and the results presented in this paper are based solely on experimental observations and analysis conducted during the study.

REFERENCES

[1] Qin, H., Chai, L., & Zhang, Y. (2024). Comparative study of plant-based extracts for pest control in agricultural applications. *SSRN Electronic Journal*.

[2] Shi, X., Zhang, J., & Kumar, R. (2024). Evaluation of botanical pesticides against common crop pests under laboratory conditions. *The Pantnagar Journal of Research, 20*(2), 118–124.

[3] Loboichenko, V., Wilk-Jakubowski, G., & Singh, P. (2024). Effectiveness of plant-derived formulations as eco-friendly biopesticides. *Agriculture and Ecology Journal, 15*(4), 210–218.

[4] Erkmen, J., Hamamci, B., & Yakut, R. (2024). Assessment of cow-based organic formulations for sustainable pest management. *Journal of Organic Farming and Rural Development, 12*(2), 95–102.

[5] Digiesi, S., Laurieri, N., & Gupta, M. (2024). Limitations and challenges of traditional organic pesticide preparation methods. *Vinoba Bhave University Research Journal, 8*(1), 33–40.

[6] Jadhav, M., More, K., & Pawar, D. T. (2023). Botanical pesticides and their role in sustainable agriculture. *Environmental and Ecological Advances, 11*(4), 53–55.

[7] Swarnkar, M., Shahani, L., & Verma, A. (2023). Cow urine as a bio-enhancer in organic farming: A review. *Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences, 12*(3), 45–52.

[8] Shcherbak, O., Loboichenko, V., & Ivanov, T. (2023). Impact of plant-based extracts on crop protection and yield improvement. *International Journal of Herbal Medicine, 13*(1), 58–65.

[9] Singh, P., Deshmukh, N., & Bhattacharya, R. K. (2022). Biopesticidal potential of traditional herbal formulations in agriculture. *International Journal of Applied Agricultural Research, 17*(3), 189–194.

[10] Mehta, R., Patil, S., & Sharma, A. K. (2021). Phytochemical composition and pesticidal activity of herbal extracts. *Journal of Organic Agricultural Sciences, 3*(2), 265–270.